

## HITS WOULDN'T GO SAFE

## BUT THE REDS WOULD AND THE NEW YORKS LOST

Thereby sliding back to last place—Brooklyn's defeat in the game with Pittsburgh—St. Louis wins in Boston and Cuba defeat Phillies.

The third game of the New York-Cincinnati series yesterday was a defeat for the New Yorks, who thereby added to last place in the National League standings. The Cardinals bumped the Bostonians and rose to seventh place, the late abiding place of the Giants. The Cardinals are crowding the Bostonians and Brooklyn, the latter losing to the Pittsburghs in a ten-inning game. It was their third straight defeat by the Pirates. The Phillies met their third straight defeat at the hands of the Cincinnati Reds.

Cincinnati, 4; New York, 2.

Pittsburgh, 3; Brooklyn, 1.

Chicago, 3; Philadelphia, 2.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

St. Louis, 3; Boston, 1.

Philadelphia, 3; Cincinnati, 1.

## HARRIGAN WINS CROTONA

## BEATS RESTIGOUCHE AND DE MUND AT BELMONT PARK

Medallion Takes Bouquet Stakes by a Head—Fashion Plate's New Stable—Shannon Scores for Madden—Glucose and Traveller Also Succeed.

Compared with the crowds that turned out on Thursday and Saturday of last week yesterday's attendance at Belmont Park was rather small, yet nearly 3,000 persons paid for badges, and the receipts more than covered expenses. The weather was threatening all the afternoon and probably kept many amusement seekers away from the big track. The racing, however, was of excellent quality, and the winner in each instance did not lack a following.

When H. R. Brandt scratched Jack Atkin, the top weight, out of the Crotona handicap, at six furlongs, the word was passed around that Harrigan, from the same barn, was a sure winner. But Paul J. Rainey's De Mund, who won the Toboggan Handicap on Saturday, was posted as an added starter, and Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

There was an exciting finish in the Bouquet Selling Stakes, for two-year-olds, four and a half furlongs, in which Harrigan, who was never lengthened from the front, was quoted as being quoted on even terms with Harrigan. At the start De Mund shot away from the barrier at top speed and showed in front. But at the end of the first quarter of a mile, the 4400 horse began to slip, and Harrigan came from behind with a great rush. After that it was a long, hard drive to the judges, Harrigan winning by a length from Restigouche, who was never lengthened from the front. The time was 1:11.5, one-fifth of a second slower than De Mund's time.

## EASY WIN FOR DE LA SALLE.

## School's Athletics Score 83 Points in Intercollegiate Meet.

De La Salle Institute won the outdoor championships of the New York Intercollegiate A. A. at Columbia Oval in a walkover yesterday. De La Salle's point score was 83, and the other schools combined for 10. The only schools left in the association now, and the only institutions were invited to enter teams yesterday at the Belmont School indoor meet last night. One-half the entries were from De La Salle, and the teams from that institution took nine first places.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

De La Salle's crack sprinter, George F. Scannell, 100 and 200 yard runs, won his times in both events of 10-3 seconds for the 100 and 20-5 seconds for the 200. Scannell was also third in the quarter mile and second in the running broad jump. The other point getters for De La Salle were J. Meenan, Jr., and his brother John Meenan, who did well in the hurdles and 400 yard runs.

## CURRENT SPORTING GOSSIP

## HOW O'BRIEN AND JOHNSON COMPARE FOR TONIGHT'S MILL.

Consensus That Philadelphia Jack Will Set a Hot Pace for the Purpose of Outpacing the Big Negro in Six Rounds—Brooklyn Handicap Entries.

Jack Johnson, heavy-weight champion of the world, will have an excellent chance to disprove the assertion that he is overrated when he looks up with Jack O'Brien at the National A. C. of Philadelphia tonight. The men are matchmakers, also, and it is a foregone conclusion that O'Brien will surely outpace the big negro, while Johnson declares that he will score a clean knockout unless the crafty Quaker runs away from him. Johnson is 6 feet 5 inches tall and will weigh not less than 250 pounds when he steps in his corner. O'Brien is 5 feet 10½ inches tall and will scale at about 170, maybe a couple of pounds less. As this is the first ring battle in which Johnson has participated since he stopped fighting in 1906, he is expected to be in the best of his condition, and in the fourth round, sporting men all over the world are awaiting the result with unusual interest.

O'Brien is a faster man in every respect than Johnson. He has a greater boxer. He has had a world of experience, but he lacks the hitting power, it is believed, to put the negro away. In a fight to a finish Johnson would surely win because of his strength and endurance, also his patience and caution. But in six rounds it is admitted that O'Brien has no superior when it comes down to a question of rapid fire attack and generalship. That is why ring sharps hold the opinion that O'Brien will adopt the tactics he has used in the recent past, with Ketchell, he will make Johnson hustle to get an even break. O'Brien knows that Johnson is a dangerous proposition in half clinches and that he can inflict much punishment with short hooks and punches, which were fatal to Burns. For that reason O'Brien intends to box at long range, using as much ring room as possible and setting such a swift pace that Johnson will find it impossible to reach him and so to wear him out.

This is the way the bout is sized up by good judges who, however, stipulate that the men must fight on the square. If there is any intention that the affair is a prearranged "stall," therefore, there is sure to be a howl that will result in much harm to the reputations of both men as well as to the pugilistic game. Johnson's strongest point is an impregnable defense, his lack of aggressiveness, and his seldom rushes into slugging bees, thereby leaving himself open, and depends wholly upon his ability to block punches and hold an opponent's biceps in the clinches—a trick that few pugilists have been able to work as successfully as the big black man. On "pugilistic dog" there is not much upon which to compare the respective merits of O'Brien and Johnson. They have never met before, and the only comparison that can be made is between the two men and the men they have defeated. Both have boxed six rounds with a negro called Black Bill, no decision being rendered. Johnson has knocked out Jim Jeffries, a second round, and it is believed that he has stopped the same man in three. Johnson has put Morris Harris, a big negro, away in one round, while the latter has stayed six rounds with Philadelphia Jack. Johnson has earned a decision before in an round contest. When Fitzsimmons was all in Johnson stopped him in two rounds, while more than a year ago, in 1906, Johnson knocked out Kaufman in three rounds. Only one defeat, Kaufman, ever sustained, but the latter has never been able to secure a match with the present heavy-weight champion.

There is one line, however, that can be used to the advantage of O'Brien. He boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross.

There is one line, however, that can be used to the advantage of O'Brien. He boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross.

There is one line, however, that can be used to the advantage of O'Brien. He boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross.

There is one line, however, that can be used to the advantage of O'Brien. He boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross.

There is one line, however, that can be used to the advantage of O'Brien. He boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross.

There is one line, however, that can be used to the advantage of O'Brien. He boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross.

There is one line, however, that can be used to the advantage of O'Brien. He boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross.

There is one line, however, that can be used to the advantage of O'Brien. He boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however, has boxed a twenty round draw with Burns and later receiving the double cross. Philadelphia Jack, however